KAMALA D. HARRIS 1 Attorney General of California 2 Margarita Padilla ALAWEDA COUNTY Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 Brett J. Morris, SBN 158408 FFR 01 2013 DEBORAH R. HALBERSTADT, SBN 240839 Deputy Attorney General 4 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COUR 1515 Clay Street, 20th Floor ByPILLPINO TUNG 5 P.O. Box 70550 Oakland, CA 94612-0550 6 7 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff The People of the State of California (Additional Counsel listed below) 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 **COUNTY OF ALAMEDA** 11 12 A.13665960 13 THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF Case No. 14 CALIFORNIA, COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND Plaintiff. 15 OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF 16 v. (Health & Saf. Code, Div. 20, Chapters 6.5, 6.7, and 6.95; Bus. & Prof. Code, § 17200, et 17 BP WEST COAST PRODUCTS LLC, a seq.) Delaware Limited Liability Corporation; BP 18 PRODUCTS NORTH AMERICA INC., a 19 Maryland Corporation; ATLANTIC RICHFIELD COMPANY, a Delaware 20 Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, Defendants. 21 22 Additional Counsel for Plaintiff: 23 NANCY O'MALLEY 24 District Attorney of Alameda County KENNETH A. MIFSUD, SBN 144000 25 Senior Deputy District Attorney KEVIN WONG, SBN 215446 26 Deputy District Attorney 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 650 27 Oakland, CA 94621-1934 28

1	ROBERT MALONEY District Attorney of Glenn County
2	ROBERT NICHOLS, SBN 100028
3	Deputy District Attorney P. O. Box 430
4	Willows, CA 95988
5	
6	LARRY MORSE, II District Attorney of Merced County
7	ROBERT NICHOLS, SBN 100028
8	Deputy District Attorney 550 West Main Street
9.	Merced, CA 95340
10	
11	
12	CLIFFORD NEWELL
13	District Attorney of Nevada County ROBERT NICHOLS, SBN 100028
14	Deputy District Attorney 110 Union Street
15	Nevada City, CA 95959
16	
17	
18	R. SCOTT OWENS
19	District Attorney of Placer County JANE CRUE, SBN 210122
20	Deputy District Attorney 10810 Justice Center Drive Suite #240
21	Roseville, CA 95678
22	
23	
24	MICHAEL A. RAMOS
25	District Attorney of San Bernardino County DANIEL I. SILVERMAN, SBN 224762
26	Deputy District Attorney 412 West Hospitality Lane, 3rd Floor
27	San Bernardino, CA 92415
28	

BIRGIT FLADGER
District Attorney of Stanislaus County
ROBERT NICHOLS, SBN 100028
Deputy District Attorney
832 12th Street, Suite 300
Modesto, CA 95353

PATRICK McGRATH
District Attorney of Yuba County
ROBERT NICHOLS, SBN 100028
Deputy District Attorney
215 Fifth Street, Suite 152
Marysville, CA 95901

PLAINTIFF, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, based on information and belief, alleges as follows:

<u>PLAINTIFF</u>

- 1. Plaintiff, THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA ("Plaintiff"), brings this action by and through Kamala D. Harris, the Attorney General of the State of California ("Attorney General"), and by the District Attorneys of Alameda, Glenn, Merced, Nevada, Placer, San Bernardino, Stanislaus, and Yuba Counties ("District Attorneys").
- 2. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code sections 25145.4, 25181, and 25182 the Attorney General, together with the District Attorneys, may bring a civil action in the name of the People of the State of California to enjoin any violation of Chapter 6.5 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code (hereinafter "Chapter 6.5") and seek civil penalties for violations of the provisions of Chapter 6.5.
- 3. Pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25299.02, the Attorney General and the District Attorneys may bring a civil action in the name of the People of the State of California for violations of state law dealing with the underground storage of hazardous substances, underground storage tanks ("USTs"), and UST systems, as set forth in Chapter 6.7 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code (hereinafter "Chapter 6.7").

- 4. Pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25299.01, the Attorney General and the District Attorneys may apply to a superior court for an injunction or an order directing compliance against any person who has engaged in, is engaged in, or is about to engage in any acts or practices which violate Chapter 6.7.
- 5. Pursuant to California Health and Safety Code sections 25516 and 25516.1, the Attorney General and the District Attorneys may bring an action for civil penalties for violations of Health and Safety Code sections 25503.5 to 25505, inclusive, or sections 25508 to 25520, inclusive, and to enjoin a violation of Chapter 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code (hereinafter "Chapter 6.95").
- 6. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code sections 17203, 17204, and 17206, the Attorney General and the District Attorneys may bring actions in the name of the People of the State of California in a superior court for an injunction against any person who engages, had engaged, or proposes to engage in unfair competition and for civil penalties for each act of unfair competition.
- 7. Plaintiff brings this action without prejudice to, and independent of, and without prejudice to any other existing action or claims which Plaintiff may have based on separate, independent and unrelated violations of Chapters 6.5, 6.7, or 6.95 of Division 20 of the Health and Safety Code by the Defendants and on facts which are not alleged in this Complaint.
- 8. Plaintiff alleges that certain claims alleged in this case are related to certain specific claims that were at issue and resolved in a final judgment in the action of *People v. Atlantic*Richfield Company, et al., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 03AS05452.

DEFENDANTS

- 9. Defendant BP West Coast Products, LLC is a Delaware Corporation, duly registered with the California Secretary of State.
- 10. Defendant BP Products North America, Inc. is a Maryland Corporation, duly registered with the California Secretary of State.
- 11. Defendant Atlantic Richfield Company is a Delaware corporation, duly registered with the California Secretary of State.

- 12. Defendants BP West Coast Products, LLC, BP Products North America, Inc., and Atlantic Richfield Company are referred to herein as "Defendants."
- 13. At all times herein relevant, Defendants owned, operated, leased, franchised, contractually controlled and otherwise controlled persons and entities obligated to follow and comply with Defendants' direction over facility operating standards, underground storage tanks and underground storage tanks systems used to store motor vehicle fuel for retail sale, and Defendants were a co-generator of hazardous waste, at no less than 780 sites or facilities located throughout California.
- 14. In this Complaint when reference is made to any act or omission of Defendants, such allegations shall include the acts and omissions of owners, officers, directors, agents, employees, contractors, vendors, affiliates, and representatives of Defendants while acting within the course and scope of their employment or agency on behalf of Defendants.
- 15. The identities of DOES 1-10 are unknown to Plaintiff at this time. As the identities of DOE defendants become known, Plaintiff will amend this Complaint accordingly.
- 16. At all times relevant to the facts alleged herein, the Defendants were legally responsible for compliance with the provisions of the Health and Safety Code including Chapter 6.5, 6.7, and 6.95 of Division 20, and the corresponding implementing regulations, at Defendants' sites located in California in connection with the ownership and operation of the facilities.

VENUE AND JURISDICTION

- 17. The Defendants at all times mentioned herein have transacted business within, inter *alia*, Alameda County and the Counties of Glenn, Merced, Nevada, Placer, San Bernardino, Stanislaus, and Yuba, State of California. The violations of law hereinafter described have been committed within, *inter alia*, Alameda County and the Counties of Glenn, Merced, Nevada, Placer, San Bernardino, Stanislaus, and Yuba, State of California.
- 18. Venue is proper in Alameda County pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 25280 et seq. in that certain of the violations alleged in the Complaint occurred in this County, and that the other violations alleged in the Complaint, which occurred at locations outside this

County, are related to such violations and the penalties and injunctive relief sought by Plaintiff in this action. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to Article 6, section 10 of the California Constitution.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that on and after October 1, 2006 and continuing through the present, Defendants have engaged in actions and omissions involving: (a) the operation and maintenance of underground storage tanks ("USTs") and UST systems, and, (b) the handling of hazardous wastes and hazardous substances generated by operation of USTs, UST systems, and motor vehicle maintenance, at Defendants' facilities in California in violation of Health and Safety Code, Division 20, Chapters 6.5, 6.7, and 6.95, and the corresponding implementing regulations.
 - 20. Defendants' acts and omissions include but are not limited to the following:

 <u>Underground Storage of Hazardous Substances Law Violations</u>

 (Health and Safety Code Section 25281 et seq.)
- a. Tampered with or otherwise disabled leak detection devices or alarms in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25299, and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 2630 and 2641.
- b. Failed to conduct monthly inspections in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2715, subdivision (c).
- c. Failed to maintain copies of monthly inspection reports in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2715, subdivision (e).
- d. Failed to ensure and document that employees completed training on the proper operation and maintenance of the underground storage tanks systems in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2715, subdivision (f).
- e. Failed to ensure that their underground storage tank systems were operational in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25291.
- f. Failed to ensure that liquid in the secondary containment system was analyzed and properly disposed of in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25291, subdivision (e).

	g.	Failed to annually test pressurized product line leak detectors in violation of
Health and	Safety	y Code sections 25291, subdivision (f), and 25292, subdivision (b), and Title 23
of the Calif	fornia	Code of Regulations, section 2638, subdivision (a).

- h. Failed to perform required integrity testing in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25292, subdivision (b), and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2643.
- i. Failed to have current underground storage tank information forms on file with the appropriate local agency or CUPA in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2711.
- j. Failed to operate their underground storage tank systems in a manner to prevent an unauthorized release in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25292.1.
- k. Failed to ensure that their underground storage tank systems were operational in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25291.
- 1. Failed to maintain required permits in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25284, subdivision (a)(1), and section 25287, subdivision (a).
- m. Failed to submit the owner-operator agreement to the appropriate local agency or CUPA in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25284, subdivision (a)(3).
- n. Failed to have the Form A & B permits present at their station sites in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25286, subdivision (a).
- o. Failed to have proof of financial responsibility current and present at their station sites in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25292.2, subdivision (a).
- p. Failed to have operating permits at their station sites in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a), and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2712, subdivision (i).
- q. Failed to certify their continuous monitoring systems annually in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25284.2, and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2638, subdivision (a).

- r. Failed to have a current unauthorized release response plan present at their station sites in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2632.
- s. Failed to have the alarm status history available at their station sites in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (a)(2).
- t. Failed to implement a monitoring plan that has been approved by the appropriate local agency or CUPA in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25293, and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2632.
- u. Failed to test their secondary containment systems at their station sites in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25284.1, subdivision (a)(4)(B), and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2637.
- v. Failed to properly close an underground storage tank system or component in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25298, and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2670.
- w. Failed to have maintenance and monitoring records available at their station sites in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (a)(2), and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2712, subdivision (b).
- x. Failed to have properly functioning alarm systems in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2632, subdivision (c)(2)(B).
- y. Failed to properly affix stickers to their UST monitoring equipment in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2638, subdivision (f).
- z. Failed to use approved overfill protection at their station sites in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2635, subdivision (b)(2).
- aa. Failed to remove liquid from their spill containers in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (a)(2).
- bb. Failed to have a properly functional spill container drain(s) in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2635, subdivision (b)(1)(C).
- cc. Failed to keep the sumps free of liquid and debris in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2631, subdivision (e)(4).

<u>Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Law Violations</u> (Health and Safety Code Section 25500 et seq.)

Ill. Failed to have business plan(s) on site in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25503.5.

mmm. Failed to update their business plan within three years in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25503, subdivision (d), and 25505, subdivision (c).

nnn. Failed to complete a chemical inventory in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25503.3, 25505, subdivision (d), and 25509.

ooo. Failed to update their chemical inventory annually in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25503.3, 25505, subdivision (e), and 25509.

ppp. Failed to maintain the documented employee training in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25504, subdivision (c).

qqq. Failed to have a complete evacuation plan and facility map at their station sites in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25504, subdivision (b), and 25505.

rrr. Failed to have material safety data sheets ("MSDS") available in violation of Title 8 of the California Code of Regulations, section 5194.

sss. Failed to post at their station sites emergency phone numbers in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25504.

TOLLING OF STATUTES OF LIMITATIONS

21. Plaintiff has entered into a series of tolling agreements with Defendants preserving Plaintiff's right to pursue violations beyond the typical limitations period provided by statute. The parties have agreed that the time period from October 1, 2011 to February 1, 2013 inclusive shall be tolled ("Tolling Period"), and that any claim or cause of action that would expire or would otherwise cease to be actionable shall not expire and that the time between October 1, 2011, and February 1, 2013, will not be included in computing the time limits created by any statutory limitation period for pursuing causes of action against Defendants.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

<u>Violations of the Underground Storage of Hazardous Substances Law as a UST Operator</u> (Health and Safety Code, Div. 20, Chapter 6.7, section 25281 et seq.)

- 22. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 19, subparagraphs a. through ll., inclusive, of paragraph 20, and paragraph 21.
- 23. To the extent that Defendants are the operator(s) of the underground storage tank system at Defendants' facilities, Defendants have committed violations of Chapter 6.7 and its implementing regulations and thus are liable for civil penalties as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (a), for each day of violation of the rules, regulations, standards, or requirements per each underground storage tank, as set forth in the above allegations.
- 24. Defendants, as the operator(s) of the underground storage tank systems, must immediately and permanently be enjoined from further violations of Chapter 6.7.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

<u>Violations of the Underground Storage of Hazardous Substances Law as a UST Owner</u> (Health and Safety Code, Div. 20, Chapter 6.7, section 25281 et seq.)

- 25. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 19, subparagraphs a. through ll., inclusive, of paragraph 20, and paragraph 21.
- 26. To the extent that Defendants are the owner(s) of the underground tank systems at Defendants' facilities, Defendants have committed violations of Chapter 6.7 and its implementing regulations and thus are liable for civil penalties as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (b), for each day of violation of the rules, regulations, standards, or requirements per each underground storage tank, as set forth in the above allegations.
- 27. Defendants, as the owner(s) of the underground storage tank systems, must immediately and permanently be enjoined from further violations of Chapter 6.7.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Intentional or Negligent Violations of the Hazardous Waste Control Law (Health and Safety Code, Div. 20, Chapter 6.5, section 25100 et seq.)

28. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 19, subparagraphs mm. through jjj., inclusive, of paragraph 20, and paragraph 21.

- 29. Defendants have committed violations of Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations and thus are liable for civil penalties as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 25189, subdivision (b), for each intentional or negligent violation of rules, regulations, standards or requirements regarding hazardous waste, as set forth in the above allegations.
- 30. Defendants must immediately and permanently be enjoined from further violations of Chapter 6.5.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Strict Liability Violations of the Hazardous Waste Control Law (Health and Safety Code, Div. 20, Chapter 6.5, section 25100 et seq.)

- 31. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 19, subparagraphs mm. through jjj., inclusive, of paragraph 20, and paragraph 21.
- 32. Defendants have committed violations of Chapter 6.5 and its implementing regulations and thus are strictly liable for civil penalties as set forth in California Health and Safety Code section 25189.2, subdivision (b), for each day of violation of the rules, regulations, standards or requirements regarding hazardous waste, as set forth in the above allegations.
- 33. Defendants must immediately and permanently be enjoined from further violations of Chapter 6.5.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Knowing Violations of the Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Law (Health and Safety Code, Div. 20, Chapter 6.95, section 25500 et seq.)

- 34. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 19, subparagraphs kkk. through rrr., inclusive, of paragraph 20, and paragraph 21.
- 35. Defendants have committed violations of Chapter 6.95 and its implementing regulations and thus are liable for civil penalties as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 25514, subdivision (b), for each knowing violation of provisions of the Health and Safety Code Division 20, Chapter 6.95, as set forth in the above allegations.
- 36. Defendants must immediately and permanently be enjoined from further violations of Chapter 6.95.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

<u>Violations of the Hazardous Materials Release Response Plans and Inventory Law</u> (Health and Safety Code, Div. 20, Chapter 6.95, section 25500 et seq.)

- 37. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 19, subparagraphs kkk. through rrr., inclusive, of paragraph 20, and paragraph 21.
- 38. Defendants have committed violations of Chapter 6.95 and its implementing regulations and thus are strictly liable for civil penalties as set forth in Health and Safety Code section 25514, subdivision (a), for each knowing violation of provisions of the Health and Safety Code Division 20, Chapter 6.95, as set forth in the above allegations.
- 39. Defendants must immediately and permanently be enjoined from further violations of Chapter 6.95.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

<u>Violations of the Unfair Competition Law</u> (Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq.)

- 40. Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 39 inclusive.
- By the acts described herein, Defendants engaged in daily acts of unlawful and/or unfair competition prohibited by Business and Professions Code sections 17200 through 17208. Each act constitutes a separate unlawful and/or unfair business practice.
- 42. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 17206, Defendants are liable for civil penalties for each violation.
- 43. Defendants must immediately and permanently be enjoined from engaging in any activity that violates Chapters 6.5, 6.7, and 6.95 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code, which thereby constitutes unfair competition within the meaning of Business and Professions Code section 17200.

EXEMPLAR VIOLATIONS

- 44. Plaintiff has selected 41 sites to illustrate Defendants' violations of law as alleged in Paragraphs 1 through 43 above, and Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that on or about the date set forth below and at, but not limited to, the following facility locations owned by Defendants, that said Defendants engaged in actions and omissions constituting violations of California law, to wit:
- a. At Defendants' facility located at 1260 Park Street, Alameda, California, the following unlawful acts and omissions were committed by Defendants:
 - 1. On or about January 3, 2008, Defendants:
- A. Intentionally tampered with an automatic leak detection system by raising four (4) leak detector sensors one half-inch from the bottom of the under dispenser containment with cotter pins in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25299(f)(2);
- B. Failed to maintain monitoring system components and devices properly resulting in the inability to energize submersible turbine pumps to test leak detectors in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 2641 and 2632;
- C. Failed to place universal waste in a specifically marked and labeled area indicating the earliest date of arrival or waste classification in violation of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66273.35(b)(5); and
- D. Failed to provide hazardous materials training in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25504(c).
 - 2. On or about January 17, 2007, Defendants:
- A. Failed to maintain monitoring system components and devices properly resulting in the inability to energize submersible turbine pumps to test leak detectors in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 2641 and 2632;
- B. Failed to monitor and remove water and fuel accumulated in fill sumps in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25291(e) and 25290.1;
- C. Failed to separate incompatible hazardous wastes in violation of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section 66265.199;

1	C. Failed to maintain Form A & B permits current on site in violation				
2	of Health and Safety Code section 25286(a).				
3	h. At Defendants' facility located at 25225 Mission Boulevard, Hayward,				
4	California, the following unlawful acts and omissions were committed by Defendants:				
5	1. On or about June 6, 2007, Defendants:				
6	A. Failed to have a properly functioning spill container in violation of				
7	Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2635(b)(1).				
8	2. On or about July 2, 2008, Defendants:				
9	A. Failed to have properly functioning overfill protection in violation				
10	of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2635(b)(2).				
11	3. On or about November 16, 2010, Defendants:				
12	A. Failed to mark emergency fuel shutoff device in violation of				
13	Uniform Fire Code section 5201.5.3; and				
14	B. Failed to place fire extinguishers on premises in violation of				
15	Uniform Fire Code section 1003.1.1.				
16	i. At Defendants' facility located at 899 Rincon Avenue, Livermore, California,				
17	the following unlawful acts and omissions were committed by Defendants:				
18	1. On or about February 28, 2007, Defendants:				
19	A. Failed to maintain consolidated manifests for three (3) years in				
20	violation of Health and Safety Code section 25160.2;				
21	B. Failed to provide training of employees by the designated operator				
22	in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 2715(a) - (c);				
23	C. Failed to maintain a written contract between the owner and				
24	operator of the USTs in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(3) and Title 23 of				
25	the California Code of Regulations, section 2620(b);				
26	D. Failed to have proof of financial responsibility current on site in				
27	violation of Health and Safety Code section 25292.2(a);				
28	///				

	A.	Failed to obtain an Underground Storage Tank Permit as required
by Health and Safety C	ode se	ection 25286(a) and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations
section 2712(i);		

- B. Failed to possess and submit to the Merced County Department of Public Health a current Certificate of Financial Responsibility as required by Health and Safety Code section 25292.2 and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2711(11);
- C. Failed to submit to the Merced County Department of Public Health and failure to maintain a current approved written monitoring plan in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2712(b);
- D. Failed to have a current unauthorized release response plan present at the station site in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2632;
- E. Failed to submit facility and underground storage tank information to wit: a site map, as required by Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2711(a);
- F. Failed to identify the current designated UST operator in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2715(a);
- G. Failed to monitor and remove water and fuel accumulated in the 91 octane sump in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25291(e), 25290.1, 25290.2 and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2630(d);
- H. Failed to have monitoring system components installed and maintain components functioning properly as required by Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 2636(f)(2) and 2641(f);
- I. Failed to have overspill containment pass annual test as required by Health and Safety Code section 25284.2; and,
- J. Failed to install and maintain monitoring system components and devices properly to wit: installing and maintaining sensors within a pipe that blocked liquid from contacting the sensor in violation of Health and Safety Code sections 25290.1(e), 25290.1-.2, 25291 and Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 2641 and 2632.

1	A. Failed to submit an Underground Storage Tank application in
2	violation of Health and Safety Code section 25504(c);
3	B. Failed to have available an owner/operator agreement in violation
4	of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(3);
5	C. Failed to submit a Certificate of Financial Responsibility in
6	violation of Health and Safety Code section 25292.2(a);
7	D. Failed to submit a Designated Operator Statement in violation of
8	Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2715(a); and
9	E. Failed to submit an updated Business Plan in violation of Health
0	and Safety Code section 25505.
1	s. At Defendants' facility located at 16337 Main, Hesperia, California, the
2	following unlawful acts and omissions were committed by Defendants:
13	1. On or about August 14, 2008, Defendants:
4	A. Failed to submit an Underground Storage Tank Application, a
15	repeat violation, in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25286(a); and,
16	B. Failed to operate a UST system with proper overspill containment
ا 17	in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25284.2.
18	2. On or about August 19, 2009, Defendants:
19	A. Failed to operate a UST system with properly functioning line leak
20	detectors in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 2636(f)(2) and
21	2641(f).
22	3. On or about August 10, 2010, Defendants:
23	A. Failed to have available an owner/operator agreement in violation
24	of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(3);
25	B. Failed to submit an updated business plan in violation of Health and
26	Safety Code section 25505;
27	C. Failed to keep containment sumps free of debris or liquid in
28	violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2590.21(c)(3); and,
	n 24

1	B. Operated a UST system that was leaking fuel in violation of Title		
2	23 of the California Code of Regulations sections 2630 and 2640.		
- 1			
3			
4	A. Failed to submit a Designated Operator Statement in violation of		
5	tle 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2715(a); and,		
6	B. Failed to keep containment sumps free of debris or liquid, having		
7	trash in several sumps, as a repeat violation, in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of		
8	Regulations, section 2590.21(c)(3).		
9	5. On or about February 17, 2012, Defendants:		
10	A. Failed to keep containment sumps free of debris or liquid in violation		
11	of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2590.21(c)(3).		
12	u. At Defendants' facility located at 808 N. Mountain Avenue, Ontario,		
13	California, the following unlawful acts and omissions were committed by Defendants:		
14	1. On or about September 24, 2008, Defendants:		
15	A. Failed to have an owner/operator agreement available in violation		
16	of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(3); and,		
17	B. Failed to keep containment sumps free of debris or liquid in		
18	violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2590.21(c)(3).		
19	2. On or about January 16, 2009, Defendants:		
20	A. Failed to submit an Underground Storage Tank application package		
21	in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25286(a);		
22	B. Failed to have an owner/operator agreement available in violation		
23	of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(3);		
24	C. Failed to correct prior noted violations within 30 days in violation		
25			
26	D. Failed to properly monitor Underground Storage Tank systems in		
27	violation of Health and Safety Code section 25293;		
28	/ / /		

1 -	1.	On o	r about September 12, 2008, Defendants:
2		A.	Failed to submit an Underground Storage Tank system application
3	package in violation of Health and Safety Code section 25286(a);		
4	,	В.	Failed to have available an owner/operator agreement in violation
5	of Health and Safety Code section 25284(a)(3);		
6	•	C.	Failed to submit a Certificate of Financial Responsibility in
7	violation of Health and Safety Code section 25292.2(a);		
8		D.	Failed to submit an updated business plan in violation of Health and
9	Safety Code section 255	505;	
10		E.	Failed to properly monitor USTs in violation of Health and Safety
11	Code section 25293;		
12		F.	Failed to keep containment sumps free of debris or liquid in
13	violation of Title 23 of t	the C	alifornia Code of Regulations, section 2590.21(c)(3);
14		G.	Failed to have overspill containment installed or tested in violation
15	of Health and Safety Co	de se	ection 25284.2; and,
16		H.	Operated a UST system with worn gaskets and fouled sensor sumps
17	in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, sections 2630 and 2640.		
18	2.	On o	or about October 22, 2008, Defendants:
19		A.	Failed to have a properly functioning spill container in violation of
20	Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2635(b)(1).		
21	3.	On c	or about September 14, 2009, Defendants:
22		A.	Failed to submit a certificate of financial responsibility in violation
23	of Health and Safety Code section 25292.2(a);		
24		B.	Failed to correct prior noted violations within 30 days in violation
25	of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2712(f); and		
26		C.	Failed to maintain operational audible or visual alarms in violation
27	of Title 23 of the Califo	ornia	Code of Regulations, sections 2632(c)(2)(B) and 2636(f)(1).
28	4.	On o	or about September 2, 2010, Defendants:
	ŀ		40

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

	'		
1	1. On or about November 10, 2006 and again on or about June 11, 2007,		
2	Defendants:		
3	A. Failed to submit and maintain a current approved written		
4	monitoring plan in violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2712(b).		
5	2. On or about July 24, 2007, Defendants:		
6	A. Possessed hazardous waste used oil in excess of the lawful		
7	accumulation time in violation of Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations, section		
8	66262.34(c).		
9	3. On or about April 16, 2008 and again on or about May 1, 2008, and again		
10	on or about June 2, 2010, and again on or about July 8, 2010, and again on or about October 5,		
11	2010, Defendants:		
12	A. Failed to have a properly functioning spill container, as required by		
13	Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2635(b)(1).		
14	4. On or about June 2, 2010, and again on July 8, 2010, and again on		
15	October 5, 2010, Defendants:		
16	A. Failed to submit corrected secondary containment test results in		
17	violation of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2637.		
18	kk. At Defendants' facility located at 2016 W. 17th Street, Santa Ana, California,		
19	the following unlawful acts and omissions were committed by Defendants:		
20	1. On or about April 18, 2007:		
21	A. Defendants' UST system piping was leaking in violation of Title 23		
22	of the California Code of Regulations, section 2643.		
23	2. On or about April 9, 2008, Defendants:		
24	A. Failed to fully document designated operator monthly inspections,		
25	as required by Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2715(e); and,		
26	B. Failed to maintain properly functioning alarm systems in violation		
27	of Title 23 of the California Code of Regulations, section 2632.		
28			

COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

- 3. Injunctive relief, including but not limited to, a permanent injunction requiring Defendants to comply with the requirements of California Health and Safety Code, Division 20, Chapter 6.95;
- 4. Injunctive relief, including but not limited to, a permanent injunction prohibiting Defendants from engaging in activity that violates Chapters 6.5, 6.7, and 6.95 of Division 20 of the California Health and Safety Code, which would thereby constitute unfair competition within the meaning of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200;
- Civil penalties according to proof against each Defendant pursuant to California
 Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (a), for each violation of the provisions of
 Chapter 6.7 of the Health & Safety Code and its implementing regulations;
- 6. Civil penalties according to proof against each Defendant pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25299, subdivision (b), for each violation of the provisions of Chapter 6.7 of the Health & Safety Code and its implementing regulations;
- 7. Civil penalties according to proof against each Defendant pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25189, subdivision (b), for each intentional or negligent violation of the provisions of Chapter 6.5 of the Health and Safety Code and its implementing regulations;
- 8. Civil penalties according to proof against each Defendant pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25189.2, subdivision (b), for each strict liability violation of the provisions of Chapter 6.5 of the Health and Safety Code and its implementing regulations;
- 9. Civil penalties according to proof against each Defendant pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25514, subdivision (b), for each knowing violation of the provisions of Chapter 6.95 of the Health and Safety Code and its implementing regulations;
- 10. Civil penalties according to proof against each Defendant pursuant to California Health and Safety Code section 25514, subdivision (a), for each strict liability violation of the provisions of Chapter 6.95 of the Health and Safety Code and its implementing regulations;
- 11. Civil penalties according to proof against each Defendant pursuant to California Business and Professions Code section 17206 for each act of unfair competition engaged in by each Defendant:

1	12. Grant the Plaintiff its cost of inspection, investigation, attorney's fees,		
2	enforcement, prosecution, and suit, herein, as provided by law;		
3	13. Grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.		
4			
5	Dated: February, 2013	Respectfully Submitted,	
6		KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
7			
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Broth J. Morris	
-		BRETT J. MORRIS	
10 11		Deputy Attorney General Attorney for Plaintiff	
		People of the State of California	
12			
13	Dated: February, 2013	NANCY O'MALLEY District Attorney of Alameda County	
14	· •		
15		Tour Mant	
16		KENNETH A. MIFSUD	
17		Senior Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff	
18		The People of the State of California	
19			
20		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
21	Dated: February, 2013	ROBERT MALONEY	
22		District Attorney of Glenn County	
23	· .		
24	,		
25		ROBERT NICHOLS	
26		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff	
27		The People of the State of California	
28			
		57	
- 1	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF		

1	12. Grant the Plaintiff its cost of inspection, investigation, attorney's fees,		
2	enforcement, prosecution, and suit, herein, as	s provided by law;	
3	13. Grant such other and further	er relief as the Court deems just and proper.	
4			
5	Dated: February, 2013	Respectfully Submitted,	
6		KAMALA D. HARRIS	
7		Attorney General of California	
8			
9	4		
10		Brett J. Morris Deputy Attorney General	
11		Attorney for Plaintiff People of the State of California	
12			
13	Dated: February, 2013	Nancy O'Malley	
14		District Attorney of Alameda County	
15			
16			
17		KENNETH A. MIFSUD Senior Deputy District Attorney	
18		Attorneys for Plaintiff The People of the State of California	
19			
20		•	
21	Dated: February 1, 2013	ROBERT MALONEY	
22		District Attorney of Glenn County	
23		0115011	
24		Caluat C. Cheshap	
25		ROBERT NICHOLS	
26		Deputy District Attorney	
27		Attorneys for Plaintiff The People of the State of California	
28			
		57	
	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF		

ı		
	Dated: February 1, 2013	LARRY MORSE, II District Attorney of Merced County
1		
l		1.
		Rollint E. Stehn
ı		
ı		ROBERT NICHOLS
١		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff
		The People of the State of California CLIFFORD NEWELL
1	Dated: February, 2013	CLIFFORD NEWELL District Attorney of Nevada County
1		
l		
		2 11
		Quit E. Jiches
		ROBERT NICHOLS
		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff
1		The People of the State of California
	Dated: February, 2013	R. SCOTT OWENS District Attorney of Placer County
		District Attorney of Flacer County
		•
ı		
1		
		Jane Crue
ı		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff
		The People of the State of California
		·
1		·
1		.58

1	Dated: February, 2013	LARRY MORSE, II District Attorney of Merced County
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		ROBERT NICHOLS Deputy District Attorney
8		Attorneys for Plaintiff The People of the State of California CLIFFORD NEWELL
9	Dated: February, 2013	CLIFFORD NEWELL District Attorney of Nevada County
10		District Attorney of Northan County
11.	·	
12		
13		
14		
15		ROBERT NICHOLS
16		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff The People of the State of California
17		The Feople of the State of California
18	Dated: February, 2013	R. SCOTT OWENS District Attorney of Placer County
19		District Attorney of Fluoric Country
20		
21		
22		Jam Cun
23		\bigcup
24	·	JANE CRUE
25		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff The People of the State of California
26		the reopie of the state of Cathornia
27		
28		
		58
	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUNCT	ION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

1 2	Dated: February, 2013	MICHAEL A. RAMOS District Attorney of San Bernardino County
3		
4		DI Su
5		Et I. Vue
6		
7		Daniel I. Silverman
8		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff
9		The People of the State of California
10	Dated: February, 2013	BIRGIT FLADAGER
11	· ·	District Attorney of Stanislaus County
12	·	
13		
14		
15		
16		ROBERT NICHOLS
17		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of California
18	2010	
19	Dated: February, 2013	PATRICK MCGRATH DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF YUBA COUNTY
20		
21		
22		
23		ROBERT NICHOLS DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
24		Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of California
25		2 copie of the search of confidence
26		
27		
28		
	·	59 CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF
		and the same of th

1	Dated: February, 2013	MICHAEL A. RAMOS District Attorney of San Bernardino County
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	·	DANIEL I. SILVERMAN
8		Deputy District Attorney Attorneys for Plaintiff
9		The People of the State of California
10	Dated: February 1, 2013	BIRGIT FLADAGER District Attorney of Stanislaus County
11		
12		11
13		Robert & Tickle
14 15		rolling . July
16		ROBERT NICHOLS
17	· .	Deputy District Attorney
á		Attorneys for Plaintiff People of the State of California
18 19	Dated: February, 2013	PATRICK MCGRATH DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF YUBA COUNTY
20		
21		
22		Chalut Z July
23		ROBERT NICHOLS
24		DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY Attorneys for Plaintiff
25		People of the State of California
26		
27		
28		·
2 0	·	59
	COMPLAINT FOR PERMANENT INJUN	CTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, AND OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF